

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	F	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/750,262	10/750,262 12/31/2003		Daniel E. Afar	39766-0160D2	1744
25213	7590 12/19	2005		EXAMINER	
HELLER EHRMAN LLP 275 MIDDLEFIELD ROAD				NICKOL, GARY B	
MENLO PARK, CA 94025-3506				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			1642	

DATE MAILED: 12/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/750,262	AFAR ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gary B. Nickol Ph.D.	1642
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	ne correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply b od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABAND	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☑ TI 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. vance except for formal matters,	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 40-45 is/are pending in the applicant 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 40-45 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exami 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 31 December 2003 is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	s/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ obj he drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ection is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a life.	ents have been received. ents have been received in Application of the contraction of the	cation No eived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6-18-04. 	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/750,262

Art Unit: 1642

Re: Afar et al.

Date of priority: 06-01-1998

Claims 40-45 are pending.

Specification

The specification is objected to for the following reasons:

1) The abstract refers to "STRAP" polypeptides. This appears to be the old name for the

currently disclosed STEAP polypeptides. Appropriate corrections are required.

2) There are several sequence disclosures that do not have sequence identifiers associated with

them. For example, see page 37, line 26 and page 42, line 21. Further, see objection to Figure

11B below. If these sequences fail to comply with the requirements of 37 CFR 1.821 through

1.825, applicant must provide a computer readable form (CRF) copy of the sequence listing, an

initial or substitute paper copy of the sequence listing, as well as any amendment directing its

entry into the specification, and a statement that the content of the paper and computer readable

copies are the same and, where applicable, include no new matter, as required by 37 CFR

1.821(e-f) or 1.825(b) or 1.825(d).

Page 2

3) The specification is further objected to because it contains an embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code (see page 7, lines 30-32; page 38, line 5; page 48, lines 5, 14, 23, and 33). Applicant is required to delete all embedded hyperlinks and/or other forms of browser-executable code. See MPEP § 608.01.

4) Page 50 of the specification is objected to. On one hand, this page is redundant because it refers to the provisional applications previously set forth in the preliminary amendment (12-31-2003) to page 1 of the specification. These priority applications should only be referenced on the first page of the specification. Secondly, lines 20+ of page 50 appear to contain portions of a sequence listing. The sequence listing should be on a separate sheet of paper.

Drawings

Figure 10 and Figure 11A-11B of the drawings are objected to because they refer to "STRAP" polypeptides whereas the brief descriptions of these figures refers to these polypeptides as additional "STEAP" family members. Furthermore, the brief description of Figure 11B recites "Amino acid sequence alignment of STEAP-1 with partial ORF sequences of STEAP-2 and two other putative family member proteins...". However, the two other family member proteins do not appear to have sequence identifiers associated with them. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as

"amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 40-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Factors to be considered in determining whether undue experimentation is required, are summarized in *In re* Wands, 858 F.2d 731, 737, 8 USPQ2d 1400, 1404 (Fed. Cir. 1988). There are many factors to be considered when determining whether there is sufficient evidence to support a determination that a

disclosure does not satisfy the enablement requirement and whether any necessary experimentation is "undue." These factors include, but are not limited to:

the breadth of the claims, the nature of the invention, the state of the prior art, the level of one of ordinary skill, the level of predictability in the art, the amount of direction provided by the inventor, the existence of working examples, and the quantity of experimentation needed to make or use the invention based on the content of the disclosure. See also *Ex parte* Forman, 230 USPQ 546 (BPAI 1986).

Claims 40-45 refer to a polynucleotide whose sequence is encoded by the cDNA contained in the plasmid deposited with American Type Culture Collection as Accession No. 98849. The specification teaches that STEAP-1 cDNA clone 10 has been deposited with the American Type Culture Collection ("ATCC") (Mannassas, Va.) as plasmid 8P1D4 clone 10.1 on Aug. 26, 1998 as ATCC Accession Number 98849 and that the STEAP-1 cDNA clone can be excised therefrom using EcoRI/XbaI double digest (EcoRI at the 5'end, XbaI at the 3'end).

However, this deposit reference is an insufficient assurance that <u>all</u> of the conditions of 37 CFR sections 1.801 through 1.809 have been met. If the deposits were made under the provisions of the Budapest Treaty, filing of an affidavit or declaration by applicants, assignees or a statement by an attorney of record over his or her signature and registration number stating that the deposits have been accepted by an International Depository Authority under the provisions of the Budapest Treaty, that all restrictions upon public access to the deposits will be irrevocably removed upon the grant of a patent on this application and that the deposit will be replaced if viable samples cannot be dispensed by the depository **is required**. This requirement is necessary

when deposits are made under the provisions of the Budapest Treaty as the Treaty leaves these specific matters to the discretion of each State.

Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 40-41, 43-44 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 4-8 of copending Application No. 11/225,661. Both sets of claims appear to be claiming the identical subject matter. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

No claim is allowed

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary B. Nickol Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-0835. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 8:30-5:30; alternate Fri., 8:30-4:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/750,262 Page 7

Art Unit: 1642

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Siew can be reached on 571-272-0787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gary B. Nickol Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit 1642

GBN

GARY B. NICKOL, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER

Manysmickol